1	WILLIAM L. ANTHONY, JR. (State Bar No. 106908)	
2	wanthony@orrick.com MATTHEW H. POPPE (State Bar No. 177854)	
3	mpoppe@orrick.com ZHENG LIU (State Bar No. 229311)	
4	jenliu@orrick.com ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP 1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, California 94025	
5		
6	Telephone: +1-650-614-7400 Facsimile: +1-650-614-7401	
7	Attorneys for Defendant	
8	VARIAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC.	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
12		
13	UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH OF THE	Case No. CV 08-02973 MMC
14	COMMONWEALTH SYSTEM OF HIGHER EDUCATION d/b/a UNIVERSITY OF	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
15	PITTSBURGH, a Pennsylvania non-profit corporation (educational),	VARIAN'S MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S CLAIMS PURSUANT
16	Plaintiff,	TO FED. R. CIV. P. 12(B)(6) BASED ON DOCTRINE OF RES JUDICATA
17	v.	
18	VARIAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., a Delaware corporation,	
19	Defaware corporation, Defendant.	
20	Defendant.	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

OHS West:260479488.1

1 Having considered Defendant Varian Medical Systems, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss and 2 supporting papers, together with any opposition or reply papers on file, the pleadings and papers 3 on file, and arguments of counsel: 4 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT: 5 Varian Medical Systems, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) is 6 hereby **GRANTED.** Plaintiff's Complaint is barred by the doctrine of res judicata because the 7 parties and claims are the same as in a prior action in the United States District Court for the 8 Western District of Pennsylvania, Civil Action No. 2:07-cv-00491-AJS, which resulted in a final 9 judgment on the merits in Varian's favor entered on June 16, 2008. See, e.g., Lubrizol Corp. v. 10 Exxon Corp., 929 F.2d 960 (3d Cir. 1991); Scott v. Kuhlmann, 746 F.2d 1377 (9th Cir. 1984). 11 IT IS SO ORDERED. 12 Dated: , 2008 13 14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28